

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
,10/735,893		12/16/2003	Shuji Nagano	1472-0310P	3545	
2292	7590	11/09/2006		EXAMINER		
BIRCH ST	EWAR	T KOLASCH &	ESHETE, ZELALEM			
PO BOX 74				ADTIBUT	DA DED AND OPEN	
FALLS CH	URCH,	VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3748		
•			•	DATE MAILED: 11/09/2006:		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>				
-	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/735,893	NAGANO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	\exists				
	Zelalem Eshete	3748	\Box				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MO7HS from the mailing date of this commonication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutorion period value to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 O	<u>ctober 2006</u> .						
,_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
,	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
	·						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abevance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Burea		ed in tille Hational Otage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.					
	·						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [y (PTO-413) Date					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/24/2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5,8,9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sanshin (JP2001336407) in view of Voll (4,713,704).

Regarding claims 1,8,9: Sanshin discloses a valve system for an internal combustion engine (see figure 2), comprising: an intake-side rocker shaft having a first oil channel extending in a longitudinal direction thereof (see numeral 33); an exhaust-side rocker shaft having a second oil channel extending in a longitudinal direction

thereof (see numeral 34); intake-side rocker arms having ends thereof connected to intake valves and supported on said intake-side rocker shaft such that said intake-side rocker arms rock (see numerals 31a,31b,31c), the intake-side rocker arms being driven by an intake cam (see numerals 30a,30b,30c); and exhaust-side rocker arms having ends thereof connected to exhaust valves and supported on said exhaust-side rocker shaft such that said exhaust-side rocker arms rock (see figures 4,5), the exhaust-side rocker arms being driven by an exhaust cam (see numeral 30d); switching mechanism switching operating characteristics of the intake/exhaust cam (see abstract).

Sanshin fails to disclose the intake/exhaust side rocker shaft has a larger diameter than the exhaust/intake side rocker shaft.

However, Voll teaches that shaft which requires a higher stiffness has a larger diameter (see column 4, lines 29 to 34).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sanshin's device by providing larger diameter as taught by Voll based on the stiffness requirements as taught by Voll in order to reduce unnecessary engine weight through such optimization.

Regarding claim 2: Sanshin discloses the claimed invention as recited above and further discloses said intake-side rocker arms includes, a first rocker arm having an end thereof connected to the intake valve and supported on said intake-side rocker shaft such that said first rocker arm rocks (see numeral 31a), the first rocker arm being driven by a first low-lift cam (see numeral 30a), a second rocker arm having an end thereof

Art Unit: 3748

connectable to said first rocker arm and supported on said intake-side rocker shaft such that said second rocker arm rocks (see numeral 31b), the second rocker arm being driven by a high-lift cam causing a larger valve lift than the first low-lift cam (see numeral 30b), and a connection switching mechanism that selectively connects or disconnects said second rocker arm to or from said first rocker arm (see abstract).

Regarding claim 3: Sanshin discloses the claimed invention as recited above; and further discloses said intake valves includes a first intake valve and a second intake valve (see figure 4; numeral 25), and said intake-side rocker arms includes a first rocker arm having an end thereof connected to said first intake valve and supported on said intake-side rocker shaft such that said first rocker arm rocks (see numeral 31c), the first rocker arm being driven by a first low-lift cam (see numeral 30c), a third rocker arm having an end thereof connected said second intake valve and supported on said intake-side rocker shaft such that said third rocker arm rocks (see numeral 31a), the third rocker arm being driven by a second low-lift cam that causes a smaller valve than the first low-lift cam (see numeral 30a), a second rocker arm having an end thereof connectable to said first rocker arm and supported on said intake-side rocker shaft such that said second rocker arm rocks (see numeral 31b), the second rocker arm being driven by a high lift cam that causes a larger valve lift than the first low lift cam (see numeral 30b), and a connection switching mechanism that selectively connects or disconnects said second rocker arm to or from said first rocker arm and said third rocker arm (see abstract).

Art Unit: 3748

Regarding claim 4: Sanshin discloses said intake side rocker arms includes center pivot type rocker arms with middle parts thereof pivoted by said intake said rocker shaft (see figure 5).

Regarding claim 5: Sanshin discloses said intake side rocker arms and said exhaust side rocker arms are driven by a single cam shaft disposed between said intake side rocker shaft and said exhaust side rocker shaft (see figures 4,5).

4. Claims 6,7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sanshin in view of Voll as applied to claim 3; and further in view of Konno (5,553,584).

Sanshin discloses the claimed invention as recited above except for specifying the type of the roller.

However, Konno teaches the roller is a "double ring type" sliding roller or a roller provided with a needle bearing" as follower of the cam (see figure 34).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sanshin's device by providing the roller as taught by Konno in order to reduce friction during power transmission from the camshaft.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,893 Page 6

Art Unit: 3748

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/24/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

6. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually on pages 8 and 9, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). In this instance, the primary reference discloses the claimed invention except for failing to disclose a rocker shaft of greater diameter. The secondary reference teaches that shaft which requires a higher stiffness has a larger diameter (see column 4, lines 29 to 34). It is this teaching that is relied upon the secondary reference to cure the deficiency. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to assign greater diameter to one of the rocker shafts based on the one that demands greater stiffness requirements be it intake rocker shaft or exhaust rocker shaft.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zelalem Eshete whose telephone number is (571) 272-4860. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,893

Art Unit: 3748

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zelalem Eshete Examiner Art Unit 3748

Jeley & Sok